

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/624,603	07/23/2003	Koji Yoshida	SON-2781 7588			
23353 7	590 07/12/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER			
	HMAN & GRAUER PLI	LE, DA	LE, DANG D			
LION BUILDI 1233 20TH ST	REET N.W., SUITE 501	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2834	2834		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/624,603	•	YOSHIDA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dang D. Le		2834				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failthe - Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho y within the statutory r will apply and will expi , cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) day: re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	lav 2005.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1 and 12</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1 and 12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from conside						
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·					
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if	the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note th	ne attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been red s have been red rity documents u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received .2(a)).	on No ed in this National \$	Stage			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified	copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	ıt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <b>Г</b>	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	450)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 5/12/05.	5) <u>[</u>	Other:	atent Application (PTO	-102)			

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the three terminals in claim 1 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/624,603 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun (6,573,627) in view of Satoh et al. (6,274,955) and further in view of Danial et al. (5,175,459).

Regarding claim 1, Sun shows a small vibration motor (Figure 2-6) comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/624,603

Art Unit: 2834

- A rotor yoke in which an unbalance weight magnet (18) are placed and which is fixed to a shaft (13);

- A driving torque generating coil (14a, 14b) that is placed on a substrate
   (12) so as to face said magnet;
- Driving electronic part (15) placed on said substrate, which comprises
  an integrated circuit comprising non-molded bare chips, supplying an
  alternating current to said driving torque generating coil to rotate said
  rotor yoke around said shaft;
- A bottom plate (112) which supports said substrate and to which a radial bearing that said shaft is engaged with is fixed; and
- A cover (111) for covering said rotor yoke, said driving torque generating coil and said driving electronic parts.
- Wherein said substrate comprises a flexible substrate, and said driving torque generating coil is electrically connected to said flexible substrate.
- Wherein said substrate protrudes from said cover and comprises a
  terminal (1211, 1212) formed thereon wherein said terminal is engaged
  with a connector (not shown) mounted on an external member and is
  thereby electrically connected with said external member.

Sun does not show the unbalance weight and the magnet being two separate members, more than one driving electronic parts, the cover being adhered to the bottom plate, and the three terminals.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,603

Art Unit: 2834

Satoh et al. show the unbalance weight (57) and the magnet (56) being two separate members, more than one driving electronic parts (16 and 17), and the cover being adhered (66) to the bottom plate for the purpose of providing an unbalance rotor, providing a radio circuit with the receiving circuit, and retaining the bottom plate in place with the cover

Danial et al. shows the three terminals (for switch 402i, Figure 4) for the purpose of controlling the energization of the coils.

Since Sun, Satoh et al., and Danial et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to separate the unbalance weight and the magnet, to include more than one driving electronic parts, to adhere the cover to the bottom plate, and to utilize the three terminals as respectively taught by Satoh et al. and Danial et al. for the purposes discussed above.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun (6,573,627) in view of Satoh et al. (6,274,955) and further in view of Sato et al. (6,608,410).

Regarding claim 12, Sun shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the bottom plate being metal, the thrust bearing, the unbalance weight and the magnet being separate members, and the cover being adhered to the bottom plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,603

Art Unit: 2834

Satoh et al. show thrust bearing (52), the unbalance weight (57) and the magnet (56) being two separate members, and the cover being adhered (66) to the bottom plate for the purpose of supporting the shaft axially, providing an unbalance rotor, and retaining the bottom plate in place with the cover

Sato et al. shows the bottom plate being metal for the purpose of providing a grounding protection.

Since Sun, Satoh et al., and Sato et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a thrust bearing, to separate the unbalance weight and the magnet, to adhere the cover to the bottom plate, and to make the bottom plate of metal as respectively taught by Satoh et al. and Sato et al. for the purposes discussed above.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory

Art Unit: 2834

period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Information on How to Contact USPTO

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

2mg Lh

DANG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7

7/9/05